

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131121
Title of the Manuscript:	A Socio-economic perspective on Work Participation in Agriculture: Gender Based Analysis in West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work force in agriculture in West Bengal is the dominance since decades, and analysis of labour force participation is relevant to the state.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As per the title of the article 'A socio-economic perspective.....', the economic perspective is missing. An economic analysis may be incorporated.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references need to be included, particularly studies having district level socio-economic analysis in India	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<div>1. Secondary data for the year 1960 to 2011 has been used in this paper is too old. It can be used for time-series analysis for historical trend, however, current district level data may be incorporated for the study undertaken in 2025.</div> <div>2. Contribution of agriculture of West Bengal and its dominance in state GDP as compared to other states and national level should be discussed</div> <div>3. While studying gender disparity the sex-ratio, female mortality rate, economic status of these districts has not been depicted</div> <div>4. Female labour force participation in the bordering districts of West Bengal is influenced by in/out migration from/to neighboring states and male counterpart of these labour force varied widely in border districts, which are also not discussed in WPR.</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.N. Nandy
Department, University & Country	CCS Haryana Agricultural University Campus, India