Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131121
Title of the Manuscript:	A Socio-economic perspective on Work Participation in Agriculture: Gender Based Analysis in West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
	Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research adds valuable knowledge to the scientific field because it delivers an extensive evaluation of agricultural laboring practices based on gender in West Bengal between different periods. The paper highlights ongoing gender inequality along with social economic components that affect agricultural female labor while it provides important findings about workforce patterns in rural areas. Research evidence emphasizes the necessity to develop agricultural policies which regard women farmers while establishing fair resource availability and taking action against systemic obstacles that constrain their agricultural prospects. The research foundation creates opportunities for upgraded gender-centered agricultural policies through improved primary data collection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Gender Disparities in Agricultural Work Participation in West Bengal	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract presents a complete discussion that includes information about gender inequalities and regional patterns together with historical patterns as well as structural organizational limitations and policy analysis. The following modifications can enhance the abstract:	
	 Keep only one final version of the abstract since two versions exist within the document. Making the abstract more compelling would result from providing numerical evidence to show increased female workforce participation since it currently only presents an assessment of lacking formal recognition. The research draws its data from Census reports thus the detailed description of secondary data usage should be added to better explain research methods. The abstract would become more informative when it includes a mention of both policy suggestions and the analytical approach by demonstrating statistical trends as well as WPR calculations. The proposed future research needs stronger direction because qualitative research and intersectional gender and caste studies in agriculture should be emphasized. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript displays scientific validity with its appropriate research approach for methodology and data analysis and resulting conclusions. The research employs secondary data from the Census of India (1961–2011) with literature data to conduct a gender analysis of agricultural labor participation in West Bengal. The research adopts a systematic methodology that employs Work Participation Rate (WPR) and its gender dividing method as concrete research terms.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Your manuscript references contain significant and valuable information which supports the research history and situates it in context.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article maintains an acceptable language standard for academic use because it possesses formal structure alongside appropriate citations. The article contains passages where the author could enhance clarity combined with more coherent writing and condensed presentation. A few of the sentences are extended beyond necessity which requires precise wording. The article's scholarly impact will improve through a revision of minor grammatical inconsistencies as well as awkward phrasing to enhance readability.
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rimmi Datta
Department, University & Country	University of Kalyani, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)