

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131100
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by dairy farmers of Andhra Pradesh in using ICT tools
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled “Constraints faced by the Dairy farmers of Andhra Pradesh in using ICT tools”, is found to be a significant topic for the scholarly communities. The paper gives a detailed information related to the importance of Information Communication Technology (ICT) among the dairy farmers, constraint faced by the respondents while accessing information related to dairy farming by using ICTs and suggestions expressed by dairy farmers for effective utilization of ICT tools is a much-needed topic for the upliftment of the dairy sector.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title “Constraints faced by the Dairy farmers of Andhra Pradesh in using ICT tools”, is found to be technically correct as the paper includes the data related to constraints experienced by dairy farmers in usage of ICT tools and Suggestions expressed by dairy farmers for effective utilization of ICT tools.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The abstract writing is found to be satisfactory. The abstract written includes all the aspects of the research paper.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references were not sufficient as most of the references were out of date (highlighted in the study itself). Further the studies were systematically arranged following the APA style. The researcher is asking to incorporate more recent studies in the research paper.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and the quality of the article were suitable for the scholarly communications. Some minor mistakes have been seen and highlighted in the paper itself.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No need to give full stop. While writing the objectives in the study.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pompy Malakar
Department, University & Country	College of Community Science, Assam Agricultural University, India