

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130962
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and path analysis studies in some mutant and non-mutant lines of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss.) for seed yield and related traits
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript showed some great insights about genetic relationships between various yield components in Indian mustard. This study is crucial for developing higher-yielding varieties. The findings on correlation and path-analysis are important guide for selecting traits for higher yield. But some more detailed analysis is required to come to a conclusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the article title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it needs to be polished. The location of study and years of study should be included. Also the potential application of this finding should be mentioned.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. It has proper objective, methodology and analysis, but there is no conclusion given in the manuscript, which is a big drawback.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent (up to 2023). They are also from variety of journals.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes suitable.	
Optional/General comments	There is no conclusion given in the manuscript, which is a big drawback.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arpan Das
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh