

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130527
Title of the Manuscript:	DROUGHT ASSESSMENT USING REMOTE SENSING AND GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE IN KALABURGI DISTRICT,NORTH-EASTERN DRY ZONE OF KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study highlights the significance of remote sensing and Google Earth Engine (GEE) in assessing drought conditions across the North-Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India. By utilizing multi-temporal satellite data and geospatial analysis, it provides a cost-effective and efficient approach for drought monitoring and management. The findings can aid policymakers in implementing targeted drought mitigation strategies. Furthermore, it demonstrates the potential of cloud-based platforms like GEE in large-scale environmental studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Corrections are suggested in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Corrections are suggested in the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. It is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Some latest references can be added.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paradkar Vinayak Digambar
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, India