

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_130527 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DROUGHT ASSESSMENT USING REMOTE SENSING AND GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE IN KALABURGI DISTRICT,NORTH-EASTERN DRY ZONE OF KARNATAKA |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is good in terms of addressing the study problem related to an important climate indicator that many locations on the Earth's surface suffer from due to its relationship to the economies of countries. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title is not good because it does not allow the opportunity with the study problem you want with a specific time period to process the manuscript, so please change the title to: Spatio-temporal decomposition of drought using remote sensing and Google Earth Engine in Kalaburgi District, North-Eastern Coastal Region of Karnataka | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is good, but we hope to indicate the prevailing climatic conditions in the study area. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, it is good in terms of its scientific approach to the subject. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The researcher did not rely on similar studies that addressed the subject of the study, so he suggested that he add some research that is similar to his subject that addressed drought in arid and semi-arid regions, such as: Analysis and modeling of drought effects based on drought indicators in the eastern region of Missan | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Mohammed Waheed Hassan |
| Department, University & Country | Basra University, College of Education for Human Sciences, Iraq |