

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130511
Title of the Manuscript:	Restoring soil fertility through organic amendments: Impacts on agriculture and human health
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Soil amendment is an important contemporary issue which can offer options for sustainable and non-chemical resistance products. However the author has not clearly induct a checklist of the process used in their review.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Please consider adding information to the abstract that covers must of the areas you intend to review	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes but swallow. Please make a plan and beef up the article it has left out many issues.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No the references are not enough. Only 20 but a minimum of 50 are needed. The article number be be increased to above fifty before it accepted for publication.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs some English adjustments.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Forkwa Etienne Yong
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon