

Review Form 3

JournalName:	JournalofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_130507
TitleoftheManuscript:	IncomeSufficiencyAssessmentofRuralHouseholdsofMaldaDistrictinWestBengal
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer’scomment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript represents a substantial contribution to the scientific community by delivering a comprehensive analysis of income adequacy among rural households in the Malda district of West Bengal. Through an analysis of the socio-economic determinants affecting income sufficiency, the study elucidates significant challenges encountered by rural communities, encompassing poverty, economic inequality, and the distribution of resources. The findings hold significant relevance for policymakers, development practitioners, and researchers who aim to formulate targeted interventions for poverty alleviation and the promotion of sustainable rural development. Furthermore, this research enhances the existing body of literature by providing a localized perspective that can contribute to broader discussions regarding income sufficiency in rural areas of developing regions.	
Isthetitleofthearticle suitable? (Ifnotplease suggestanalternativetitle)	The title is unambiguous, succinct, and accurately encapsulates the fundamental essence of the study. The content is both preciseandcaptivating,facilitatingaclearcomprehensionofthe research's focal point.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract proficiently encapsulates the study's aims, methodology,principalfindings,andtheirsignificance.	
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrite here.	A comprehensive evaluation of the manuscript's methodology, dataanalysis,andconclusionsisimperative toascertainthatall scientific standards are upheld. Furthermore, the incorporation of peer feedback and validation of the study's methodology would serve to further substantiate its scientific rigour.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Thereferencesareprecise,varied,andconsistentlyformattedin accordancewith thejournal's guidelines. Essential foundational works in the discipline have been incorporated.	

Review Form 3

Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?		Academiclanguageandunbiasedcommentaryis made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Limited references, most statements are not supported by academic references 2. Little is known about the existence of any theoretical underpinning in this study. The author may consider this importance component of every scientific research, to further smoothen the rough surfaces of the work. Tables and figures are not formatted in apa format	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicholas Bamegne Nambie
Department, University & Country	Valley View University, Ghana