

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130185
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Soil Physical Properties in Natural and Farmer Practices in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This is an important examining how conventional (modern) farming and natural (non-conventional farming) practices determine the organic soil properties. This work holds the potential to pave the way suggesting farmers opt for selective crops in varying soil and terrains.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	A slight change in the title is required, a title may be revised as:  Evaluation of Soil Physical Properties in Natural and Conventional Farming Practices in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract line no 6; examined may be written as examines and remove both from the sentence.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, it is	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The introduction section lacks references, the author may add relevant references from the recent 5-10 years	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author may peruse and revise the following; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since the work is solely dedicated to soil properties. The paper lacks in the determination of soil texture because bulk density is related to soil texture in that finer-textured soils have lower bulk density, while coarser-textured soils have higher bulk density. Determination of soil texture would have augmented the manuscript into a more precise piece of work.</li> <li>The manuscript lacks in discussion of the present work concerning its importance, relevance to farmers, and prospects.</li> <li>The author may remove the table from the lateral part of the discussion section and arrange it in the result section.</li> <li>The paper also failed to delineate the study area or the number of areas from where the sampling was done.</li> <li>The study areas may be presented with the help of a map would enhance the paper.</li> </ol>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norbu Jamchu Thongdok
Department, University & Country	Mizoram University, India