

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130142
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Fenugreek Seed Powder Supplementation on Growth Performance in Commercial Broilers Exposed to Dexamethasone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall description:</p> <p>The manuscript presents relevant findings on the impact of fenugreek seed powder on broiler performance under stress and could be accepted after addressing issues with methodological clarity and deeper discussion on the statistical significance of results. Additionally, refining the language and enhancing the coherence of the introduction and results sections is recommended.</p> <p>Comment to the Author:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Eliminate redundant details, particularly in the abstract, introduction, and methodology sections. 2. Provide a more rigorous analysis of the statistical findings, addressing any apparent inconsistencies. 3. weekly feed intake differences are reported as statistically significant, the overall feed intake was deemed insignificant. This discrepancy needs clarification or more nuanced discussion. 4. The discussion does not adequately compare findings to existing literature to highlight how this study corroborates or challenges previous research. 5. The conclusion suggests broad applications of fenugreek supplementation without addressing its limitations, such as cost implications or potential side effects on broilers. 6. Many citations are over a decade old. Incorporating more recent studies would strengthen the manuscript. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ayush Kumar Garg</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Dr. Sarvapalli Radhakrishanan Rajasthan Ayurved University, India</p>