Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128626
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC, COMMUNICATION AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RURAL WOMEN OF MIGRANT HOUSEHOLDS IN UTTARAKHAND, INDIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is a good area done on the socio-economic, communication and psychological characteristics of rural women of migrant households in Uttarakhand, India, due to migrating males of the village to different states in search of livelihood and more importantly the demographic imbalances due to out-migration of the male population. However, the variables chosen to study this impact are nowhere defined. Research methodology uses only percentages and maintains no uniformity about writing % or percent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title will do but the contents don't actually reflect the socio-ecnomic, communication and psychological characteristics.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No structure are followed either in the main paper or in the abstract. The paper is full of typological errors, no comma at appropriate places, no hyphen where required, no uniformity maintained wherever hyphen are given, relative to the use of the same or similar word at other places. Headings and sub-headings are neither numbered nor properly organised. I have highlighted most of them.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No structure are followed either in the main paper or in the abstract. The paper is full of typological errors, no comma at appropriate places, no hyphen where required, no uniformity maintained wherever hyphen are given, relative to the use of the same or similar word at other places. Headings and sub-headings are neither numbered nor properly organised. Has used short forms without mentioning their full-form anywhere. I have highlighted most of them.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not only old and very few of recent period, there are no connection between the topic of the research and what is being reviewed. Incorrect grammer and sentence framing are marked throughout the paper. Many I have highlighted throughout the paper.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper is full of typological errors, no comma at appropriate places, no hyphen where required, no uniformity maintained wherever hyphen are given, relative to the use of the same or similar word at other places. Incorrect grammer and sentence framing are marked throughout the paper. Many I have highlighted throughout the paper.	
Optional/General comments	Very un-organised and just submit like paper. More in-depth attention is needed to upgrade the quality of the paper. I HAVE HIGHLIGHTED MANY SUCH ERRORS MENTIONED BY ME IN THIS REVIEWER'S COMMENTS. I AM ATTACHING THE MARKED MANUSCRIPT WITH THIS SUBMISSION.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swapnamoyee Palit
Department, University & Country	Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology (kiit) deemed to be University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)