

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_131317
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey Public Knowledge and Awareness about Antibiotic Use and Resistance in Mjini Maghrib- Unguja.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author’s Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a crucial public health issue by exploring how well people understand antibiotic use and resistance in Mjini Maghrib-Unguja. The study sheds light on significant gaps in public knowledge, which can guide future educational programs and policy decisions. The topic is highly relevant globally, and this research adds valuable insights from a region not frequently studied.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate and clearly reflects the study’s objectives.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does a good job summarizing the study but could be slightly improved by briefly mentioning the methodology and highlighting key statistical findings. This will give readers a clearer picture of the research scope and outcomes.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the methodology and data analysis are appropriate. However, it would help to clarify the sampling technique to ensure representativeness. Also, the discussion section would be stronger with more comparisons to similar studies conducted in other parts of the world.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally good, but incorporating more recent studies, particularly from the last five years, would strengthen the research foundation.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the language is clear, but there are some grammatical issues and awkward phrasing. A thorough proofreading will enhance readability and professionalism.	
Optional/General comments	It would be beneficial to include a section on study limitations. For example, self-reported data in surveys can introduce biases, and the study’s geographic focus may limit generalizability. Addressing these aspects will strengthen the research’s credibility.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihir Otia
Department, University & Country	University of East London, United Kingdom