

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_130699
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ANULOMA VILOMA PRANAYAMA IN PEOPLE WITH DECREASED LUNG RESERVE DUE TO COVID-19: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the era of digitalization and technology and considering rising cases of non-communicable diseases, it requires more attention and evidence toward managing all various non-communicable diseases. Especially, after the pandemic, the residual impact of COVID has been noted globally which might hinder individual's overall efficiency. So it requires research to manage it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate but it will be more clear if you mention outcome measure also. Just reframe your title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, the conclusion should be based on the objective. The objective of the study is to find the effect of anulom—vilom pranayama on lung reserve. So rather than concluding with the generalized term “pranayama,” it should be specific to the aim.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent but the referencing style needs to be changed. It should be the same for all your references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boskey Panchal
Department, University & Country	Shree Bhartimaiya College of Optometry and Physiotherapy, Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, India