

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_130364
Title of the Manuscript:	KLEINE LEVIN SYNDROME – AN OVERALL LITERATURE REVIEW WITH CASE STUDIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Reviewer Comment</p> <p>The review covers a wide range of aspects, including history, epidemiology, etiology, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, treatment, and lifestyle modifications. The information is presented in a clear and concise manner, making it easy to understand and it is well-organized with clear headings and subheadings, making it easy to navigate.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>Comment 1 – Reference Citing is missing in few areas as the following: Interpretation of cerebrospinal fluid, Multiple sleep latency test (MSLT), Nonpharmacological therapy. Kindly make that every aspect / component is cited.</p> <p>Comment 2- While the review is generally objective, some sections could benefit from a slightly more compassionate and patient-centered tone. For example, instead of stating "The majority of patients experienced cognitive problems," consider phrasing it as "Cognitive difficulties, such as memory problems, can affect many people with KLS."</p> <p>Comment 3- The review mentions that Ashkenazi Jews are disproportionately affected. It would be beneficial to provide more specific data or statistics on racial and ethnic disparities in KLS prevalence and outcomes.</p> <p>Comment 4- Add Year of Case in the Table</p> <p>Comment 5- It is advisable to write the analysis of findings after the table also to get a better understanding of the effectiveness of different treatment options</p> <p>Comment 6 - While the review focuses on the medical aspects of KLS, it could benefit from including more information on the patient experience. This could include personal accounts, coping strategies, and the impact of KLS on daily life and relationships.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avi Choudhary
Department, University & Country	Banarsidas Chandiwalla Institute of Physiotherapy, India