Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JMSRR_130734**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Dosage and Seawater Mixing on the Hydration and Phase Evolution of OPC-CSA Paste**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The importance of this work is to highlight the effect of CSA dosage and seawater mixture on the hydration kinetics, setting time, phase assembly and evolution of compressive strength of mixed OPC-CSA systems.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**The title is appropriate and does not deserve to be changed.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The summary is exhaustive and covers all the work**	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	**The work is generally scientifically correct, but there are still some modifications to the correlations.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**The references are recent but a little thin, nevertheless they must be supported by other references, even old ones.**	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	**The English language and quality of the article is acceptable and suitable for scientific communications.**	
Optional/General comments	**- All authors must be cited in references 15 and 34,** **- Reference [10] is not adequate with the problem cited in the introduction,** **- There is a repetition of the same consideration between reference 17 and reference 31 but with a change in the position of the authors**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Briki Lyamine**
Department, University & Country	**University of Batna2, Algeria**