

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_130496
Title of the Manuscript:	A Pedagogical Model for Enhancing Mechanical Engineering Education Through Experimental Learning and Laboratory Techniques.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Paper is good. Today need of improvement education. Here is required mathematical modelling & analysis task. Some software part needed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract sufficient.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript ok.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language ok.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Suggestion behind mathematical work required. Paper written very nice. Paper is in modelling side, but for improvement purposes can add mathematical modelling	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Shivaji Dhande
Department, University & Country	RTMNU, India