

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_130505
Title of the Manuscript:	Micronutrient diffusion behavior of nutrient pellets in acid sulphate soils
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The aim of this study is to study the micronutrient diffusion behavior of nutrient pellets in acid sulphate soils. The findings of this study reveals that, In acid sulfate soils, plant growth depends on the total nutrient composition, which these pellets successfully lowered by increasing the pH of the soil. In general, the correlation between soil characteristics and organic pellet formulations emphasizes how important these formulations are for enhancing micronutrient availability, which is necessary for sustainable agricultural practices and soil health in acid sulfate environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Few corrections were found in the manuscript, required corrections are highlighted in the red colour. After incorporation of corrections, this manuscript may be published.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Few corrections were found in the manuscript, required corrections are highlighted in the red colour. After incorporation of corrections, this manuscript may be published.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Plz go through all quoted references before publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.B.Hareesh
Department, University & Country	India