

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_129977
Title of the Manuscript:	Legal Framework for Proof of Medical Negligence in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The incidence of medical negligence is one that has long been in existence and for which legal battle seems to be unending, even where there was no trial, allegations of negligence against medical practitioners are a popular thing, thus, an article to educate people on the laws regulating medical negligence, the enforcement procedure and the standard or burden of proof as the case maybe is important and will benefit a large populace.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Unless the author intend to do a comparative analysis of Nigeria with other jurisdiction, the point on comparative analysis should be deleted	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Page 3 has the repetition of the methodology already contained in the abstractPage 4 stated that health right is a fundamental right, this position is not correct as the Right to health is a fundamental objective which is not justiciable. This can be identified as one of the challenges.The abstract talks about comparative analysis of other jurisdictions, this is not contained in the body of the articleThe gaps in the provisions of each statute or law analysed are not clearly identified	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olaide Awwal-Bolanta
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria