

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_131308
Title of the Manuscript:	Cloud Computing and Data Security: Addressing Data Privacy Concerns in Digital Currency Transactions
Type of the Article	Journal

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is critical as it reveals the security issues in cloud-based digital currency transactions in emerging technologies like AI based area. Analysing regulatory frameworks, cryptographic advances, and real-world security incidents will be very insightful for researchers, policymakers, and financial institutions. This findings contribute to secure financial innovation where technological development is balanced with regulatory compliance and user privacy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract looking as good.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically valid, as it uses established methods of analysis, regulatory frameworks, and real-world data sources for the evaluation of security risks within cloud-based transactions of digital currencies. Hope this is already tested and proven.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are more recent, and many references included are very good.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In most places, the language of the article is clear and communicates well the research conducted; however, sometimes the place might need improvement in order to be more accurate, coherent, and relevant for scholarly communication. Comparison with other techniques in same area may be they can elaborate more.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Highly recommended	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lalu P. George
Department, University & Country	SCMS School of Technology and Management, India