Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_130365**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Enhancing Incident Response Strategies in U.S. Healthcare Cybersecurity**
Type of the Article	

<mark>PART 1:</mark> **Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Cybersecurity is a complex problem that permeates production, finance, medicine, public relations and much more. Cybersecurity of critical infrastructure is very important both for ensuring the functioning of the country, its national security, and for the safety of citizens. The problem of cybersecurity of personal data is in the same row. Therefore, improving the strategies for preventing and early detection of cyberattacks is a very urgent task. It is no coincidence that the author(s) addressed this topic and presented their vision of solving some issues of ensuring cybersecurity in the healthcare sector.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**The title of the article is quite satisfactory.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The reviewer does not object to the title of the article and leaves any corrections to the title to the editors of the journal.**	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	**Yes. The article is written according to the canons of a scientific article. It consistently examines the questions posed to the author(s) and offers recommendations for their solution. It also provides quantitative results that support the conclusions about improving incident response strategies in the US healthcare sector to address the growing frequency and severity of cyber-attacks.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**The number of references to existing works is sufficient. But for example, the author Alder, S is listed in references 6 times. The reviewer does not mind this, if it is justified from an ethical point of view.**	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	**The article is written in a scientific style in good English. Since the reviewer is not a native speaker, he leaves the decision on quality to the editors.**	
Optional/General comments	**In general, the reviewer suggests accepting the article submitted for review for publication, since the topic is very relevant.**	

Review Form 3

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Shakir Mehdiyev**
Department, University & Country	**Cyber-Physical Systems Institute of Information Technology, Azerbaijan**