

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129986
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances and Current Applications of Two-Dimensional Force Sensors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The review comments are given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript deals with an important theme in sensor technology, providing an extensive review of two-dimensional force sensors. Much more relevance could have been achieved if the technological advancements and their practical industrial applications had been better connected. 2. This review tries to bridge a gap, but the gap is not explicitly defined. More explanation of this aspect would clearly elucidate the novelty of the study and make it more precise and accurate. 3. The "Technical Depth" section is well-written but could include more detailed comparative examples to illustrate why certain principles are better suited for specific applications. 4. The applications are diverse but are briefly summarized. Consider expanding one or two key applications, such as healthcare or robotics, to better detail their transformative power in those areas. 5. The manuscript often uses technical terms without adequate explanation, which may impede the reader's understanding, especially for a non-specialist. Brief definitions, explanatory footnotes, or a glossary of key terms would greatly enhance accessibility and engagement with the reader. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Suraj Shukla</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Atmiya Institute of Technology and Science, India</p>