

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129976
Title of the Manuscript:	AI-Powered Assistive Technologies for People with Disabilities: Developing AI solutions that aid individuals with various disabilities in daily tasks
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial and important to the scientific community as it addresses the different ways AI would be needed to solve issues primarily of people with disabilities. As AI evolve it is important we leverage it to solve some human needs and making life easier, helping persons with disabilities to integrate better into the society, and this manuscript has touch some of these aspects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest the below as the current title looks somewhat too lengthy: AI-Powered Assistive Technologies: Enhancing Accessibility and Empowering Individuals with Disabilities in Daily Life	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough and gives an overview of the paper.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, developing AI solutions that aid person with disabilities is one to be looked out for. The paper addressed this and points to different instance, giving examples and solutions as well. The paper also went further about using machine learning uniquely for person with disabilities, which I must say is a good write. The author also wrote on some of the already used technologies and how it can be improved like robotic with examples. I must say that the author did good research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The referencing is sufficient, however I would suggest for the author to include more in-text citation where facts are mentioned to further cement the paper, as the in-text citation is not sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. The paper would just need to be proof-read.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Morgan Nwaiku
Department, University & Country	School of Computing, Engineering and Digital Technologies, Teesside University, United Kingdom