Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130917
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGRATING LAND SUITABILITY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE IN TASIKMALAYA REGENCY, WEST JAVA, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript significantly contributes to the scientific community by integrating land suitability analysis with socio-economic assessments to enhance agricultural productivity in Tasikmalaya Regency. By combining GIS-based evaluations, economic feasibility analyses, and sustainable intensification strategies, it provides a data-driven framework for optimizing land use and improving farmer livelihoods. The study identifies key challenges, such as soil fertility limitations, irrigation deficiencies, and market access constraints, offering targeted solutions to address them. Its findings serve as a valuable resource for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in agricultural planning and rural development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest "Land Suitability and Socio-Economic Analysis for Sustainable Agriculture in Tasikmalaya, Indonesia"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Revised Version Example (More Concise & Impactful Abstract) Tasikmalaya Regency has diverse land resources, yet agricultural productivity remains suboptimal due to environmental and socio-economic constraints. This study integrates land suitability analysis with economic feasibility assessments to optimize agricultural development. Using GIS-based land evaluation and socio-economic surveys, the study assesses nine key commodities across agroecological zones. The results indicate that most areas are classified as moderately (S2) or marginally suitable (S3), with potential for high suitability (S1) for rice, potatoes, and carrots following soil and irrigation improvements. Economic analysis reveals that plantation crops (cocoa, coffee, and cloves) are more profitable than horticultural crops, though market access and infrastructure limitations hinder overall profitability. The study provides zoning recommendations and highlights the need for targeted investments in irrigation, farmer training, and agro-industrial integration. These findings offer valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in advancing sustainable and economically viable agriculture in the region.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript is scientifically correct, with a strong foundation in land suitability analysis and socio-economic assessment. However, minor clarifications in classification criteria , economic indicators , data sources , and socio-cultural factors would further enhance its scientific rigor. If these points are addressed, the study will be even more robust and valuable for agricultural policy and planning.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	✓ Overall, the references are relevant but could be more recent and diverse. While a few references from 2020–2024 are present, many citations are from earlier years. More recent studies (2021–2024) on land suitability, climate-smart agriculture, and precision farming could strengthen the manuscript.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

	✓ Adding more studies from 2021–2024 on GIS, precision agriculture, irrigation management, and	
	socio-economic resilience would enhance the manuscript's scientific foundation.	
	✓ Citing globally recognized sources such as FAO, IPCC, and recent peer-reviewed studies would	
	improve credibility.	
Is the language/English quality of the article	1. Grammatical Errors & Wordiness	
suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences are too long and complex, making them difficult to read.	
	♣ Example:	
	✓ "Despite agriculture being the primary livelihood for 41.13% of the population,	
	the productivity of key agricultural commodities such as rice, corn, vegetables,	
	and fruits remains suboptimal, limiting economic profitability."	
	✓ Improved version: "Although 41.13% of the population depends on	
	agriculture, productivity remains low, limiting economic profitability."	
	2. Redundant Phrasing	
	Some phrases could be more concise without losing meaning.	
	Example:	
	✓ "The study evaluates the land suitability and economic viability of 9 major	
	commodities, encompassing food crops, horticulture, and plantation crops."	
	✓ Improved version: "This study assesses the suitability and economic viability	
	of nine key crops, including food, horticulture, and plantation commodities."	
	3. Inconsistent Use of Tenses	
	Some sections mix past and present tense, making it inconsistent.	
	Correction:	
	✓ Use past tense for methods and results ("Data were collected using GIS-	
	based analysis")	
	✓ Use present tense for general facts ("Agricultural productivity depends on	
	land suitability")	
	4. Improving Readability and Flow	
	The manuscript sometimes repeats ideas , which can be streamlined for better	
	readability.	
	♣ Example:	
	✓ The conclusion repeats zoning recommendations without summarizing their	
	broader impact.	
	Overall Assessment:	
	❖ The language is appropriate for scholarly communication but needs minor grammatical and	
	stylistic improvements.	
	 Simplifying complex sentences, removing redundancies, and ensuring consistency in 	
	tense will enhance clarity.	
	 ❖ A professional proofreading service or grammar tool (e.g., Grammarly, Hemingway Editor) 	
	could help refine the text further.	
	Could help feithe the text fulther.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haftom Teshale Gebre
Department, University & Country	Adigrat University, Ethiopia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)