

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_130515   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of organic fertilizers and leaf cuttings on quality and yield of palak (beta vulgaris Bengalensis) Cv. All green. |
| Type of the Article      | Research article   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores sustainable agricultural practices by evaluating the impact of organic fertilizers and leaf cuttings on the yield and quality of palak ( <i>Beta vulgaris Bengalensis</i> ). The findings provide valuable insights into eco-friendly approaches to enhance crop productivity and nutritional value. |  |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>   | Yes   |  |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | Yes, but the sentences marked in red need to be reviewed and revised.   |  |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>  | Yes   |  |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | No, need to add more recent references.   |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | Yes, but requires a review and minor revisions to address grammatical errors.   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Re-check the font's style/theme and size.   |  |

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Neha Toppo</b>                     |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Radha Govind University, India</b> |