Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130135
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical And Biological Management Of Wilt Disease Of Safflower
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a comprehensive evaluation of the efficacy of integrated approaches involving fungicides and biocontrol agents in managing wilt disease of safflower. The paper highlights the potential of combi-products like Carboxin + Thiram and Penflufen + Trifloxystrobin in significantly reducing disease incidence, offering practical solutions for sustainable disease management. Additionally, the promising results observed with biocontrol agents, such as <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> and <i>Neofusicoccum parvum</i> , emphasize the potential of environmentally friendly alternatives in integrated pest management (IPM). These findings helpful to the development of effective and eco-friendly strategies for enhancing safflower production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	 The title "CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF WILT DISEASE OF SAFFLOWER" is suitable as it concisely conveys the core focus of the study, which is the evaluation of both chemical and biological methods for managing safflower wilt. However, the author may could also consider alternatives like "Integrated Approaches to the Management of Safflower Wilt Disease Using Fungicides and Biocontrol Agents" "Efficacy of Chemical and Biological Agents Against Wilt Disease in Safflower" 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is detailed and informative, but it can benefit from slight improvements. Explicitly stating the study's objective at the beginning would enhance clarity. Including key yield data and emphasizing the environmental benefits of biocontrol agents would add depth. Additionally, summarizing repetitive disease incidence data could improve conciseness and readability.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the data provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with a well-structured experimental design, clear observations, and logical conclusions. The use of randomized block design, multiple replications, and the integration of both chemical and biological treatments demonstrate a robust methodology. However, a full assessment would require reviewing the complete manuscript to ensure accurate data interpretation, statistical validation, and alignment with established scientific practices.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
ptional/General comments	Compatibility of the bioagents and fungicides must be evaluated before the evaluations it brough to the commercial large-scale use.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed v highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N.H. Shankar Reddy
Department, University & Country	Kadiri Baburao College of Agriculture and Horticulture, India

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write