

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130119
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Alternate Wetting and Drying Irrigation (AWDI) on water saving and yield of transplanted rice through large scale demonstration</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is certainly of interest to the scientific community, which aimed to evaluate the effect of alternate wetting and drying irrigation (AWDI) on rationalizing irrigation water use and thus water conservation and rice production in cultivated rice through large-scale demonstration experiments. The author reached promising results that may support production enhancement and thus increase the total yield from a technical and economic point of view.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is good but I suggest it be as follows: <b>Impact of Alternate Wetting and Drying Irrigation (AWDI) on water saving and yield of t rice</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract of the article is clear, good and comprehensive, but abstract should be written as one piece without paragraphs</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct, as the author followed the scientific method to conduct the field experiment, where he was able to define the problem, set the correct assumptions, choose the required treatments, collect and analysed the data, and reach convincing and logical results. The results were presented in a clear, organized manner, and the author cited previous studies that support the results he obtained.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are recent and cover the research topic well. I suggest that the author add additional recent references and cite additional references to enhance the results obtained.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	language quality of the article is good, suitable and understandable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Review the comments and corrections on the original manuscript attached.</b>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shaker Babiker Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan</b>