

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128225
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of weed management practices on yield and economics of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good idea, however research lack yield attributes like grains per spike, effective tillers. No of grains per ear etc. Also, this research is very short, add more details in introduction and results & discussion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract needs more detail	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically correct, but need more treatments	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add latest references in introduction and discussion part	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good	
Optional/General comments	Add more data as well as results, also add BC Ratio in economics. Check data of cost of cultivation of different treatments	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharat Bhushan Rana
Department, University & Country	CSKHPKV, India
