

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_131010
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Enablers and Barriers to Childhood Immunisation in Gassol Local Government Area of Taraba State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Childhood immunization is a global concern, notably for the developing countries. Finding out the enablers and barriers of vaccination in the context of social challenges has made this manuscript unique. This might bring potentials for improvement of immunization practice in the developing countries.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article comprehensive and focused.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. But the style should be similar in every reference. The Italic words need to be corrected.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Over all the article is very well written. There should be minor correction of the discussion which seems lengthy and better to concise within 600 words. The references style should be similar in every case.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nure Ishrat Nazme
Department, University & Country	Combined Military Hospital, Bangladesh