

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_131010
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Enablers and Barriers to Childhood Immunisation in Gassol Local Government Area of Taraba State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As stated by the authors Childhood immunization remains a critical public health strategy to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with vaccine-preventable diseases. Therefore, addressing it is vital in order to provide a solution.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the study lacks adequate information specially on the result part, it is much of introductory.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	A key part is missing in the study which is the statistical analysis part. There is no comparison of data from the key respondents on barriers and enablers to childhood immunisation. Without statistics, it is just a report. The scientific community need to see sociodemographic characteristics of respondents and data analysis comparing with other studies. I don’t even see knowledge gap in comparison to other studies, in that others are missing were this study is expected to add. The authors should provide on what study design it is? Sample size? The manuscript has a good research idea but scientifically it is incorrect.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient and recent but most of the researches are just reports from WHO or scopes related to studies and reports for the majority.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aron Rezene Mebrahtu
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia