

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130923
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Application of a Multidisciplinary Learning Approach for Pharmaceutical Care in the Bachelor of Pharmacy Curriculum among Pharmacy Educators in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Assessment of the Application of a Multidisciplinary Learning Approach for Pharmaceutical Care in the Bachelor of Pharmacy Curriculum among Pharmacy Educators in Southern Nigeria" addresses a critical gap in pharmacy education by evaluating the integration of multidisciplinary strategies in the curriculum. This is particularly significant as it aligns with contemporary pedagogical trends that emphasize holistic and collaborative approaches in healthcare education. By examining the perspectives of pharmacy educators, the study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness and challenges of implementing such an approach, which could ultimately enhance the quality of pharmaceutical care practice. Furthermore, the findings could serve as a framework for curriculum development, not only in Nigeria but also in other regions seeking to improve pharmacy education through innovative teaching methodologies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I left some comments inside the article file to make the article more transparent and academic.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract you provided is generally well-structured and comprehensive, covering essential components such as background, methods, results, and conclusion. However, there are several suggestions for improvement that could enhance clarity and depth. Here are my recommendations:- The background section could be more concise. Consider rephrasing to focus more directly on the necessity of the study. For example, stating that the shift from product-centered to patient-centered care necessitates changes in pharmacy education could be clearer.- The objective could be explicitly stated as a separate sentence to enhance clarity. For example: "This study aimed to evaluate pharmacy educators' perceptions regarding the implementation of a multidisciplinary learning approach within the Bachelor of Pharmacy curriculum in Southern Nigeria."- While the methods section is generally clear, it may benefit from more detail on the sample size and the specific statistical techniques used in the quantitative analysis. Including this information would provide more context for the results.- While the results are informative, providing specific numbers or percentages alongside identified challenges and perceived benefits would strengthen the findings. For instance, instead of just stating "insufficient manpower (52%)", you could specify how this compares to other challenges or include a brief comment on its implications.- The mention of thematic analysis is good, but it might be helpful to briefly explain why these themes matter in terms of their implications for educational practice or policy.- The conclusion could be more impactful by summarizing the implications of the findings for pharmacy education succinctly. For example, you might conclude with a statement about the importance of adapting curricula to meet contemporary healthcare needs.	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>This manuscript is a valuable contribution to the literature on pharmacy education and multidisciplinary approaches in healthcare. I left some comments inside the article file to make the article more transparent and academic.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>While the references provided are a good starting point, enhancing the recent diversity, and scope of your references can significantly strengthen your academic article</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The majority of the references span from 2014 to 2023, which is generally acceptable for academic work. However, it is important to ensure that the majority of your references are from the last five years to reflect the latest research and developments in the field.- Search for systematic reviews related to pharmacy education or interprofessional collaboration in healthcare published within the last two years. These can provide comprehensive insights and consolidate findings from multiple studies.- Incorporate references that discuss pharmacy education and practice from a global perspective to complement the regional focus.- Include references that discuss policy frameworks for pharmacy practice and education, emphasizing the global need for reform in pharmaceutical care.- Literature that discusses best practices and frameworks for implementing interprofessional education in healthcare settings.	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a thorough examination of the integration of a multidisciplinary learning approach in pharmacy education, particularly in Southern Nigeria. The content is rich and clearly structured, addressing a relevant issue in healthcare education. However, there are several areas where the language quality and overall presentation could be improved to enhance clarity, coherence, and academic rigor. I left some comments inside the article file to make the article more transparent and academic.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shila Soleymani
Department, University & Country	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran