

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130627
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential anti-hyperglycemic activity of the polyherbal combination of <i>Carica papaya</i> L., <i>Persea americana</i> Mill., and <i>Theobroma cacao</i> L. leaves extract: in vitro, ex vivo, in vivo studies
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I find the article interesting because the research or findings presented by the author demonstrated the consortium can reduce diabetic effect.  This lack of up-to-date references suggests that the author may not have engaged with the latest research or developments in the field, which weakens the article's contribution to ongoing scholarly discussions.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract seems to be complicated and confusing. The abstract states that hyperglycemia increases by 46% in a dose-dependent manner, but the manuscript does not provide any data or explanation to support this percentage change. Hence the data of % change has to be included Reframe Abstract.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the discussion should specifically address the reasons behind this observed change specifically	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	This lack of up-to-date references is seen in the manuscript which may weakens the article's contribution, hence references has to be rechecked.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	More grammatical errors is seen in the manuscript that need to be addressed	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>-Nil-</b>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K Syed Zameer Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>K. S. Rangasamy College of Technology, India</b>