

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130420
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Practices Regarding the Use of Supplements as Adjunctive Treatment and Management for Hypertension in Patients at Two Rural and Two Urban Health Centers in Guyana.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study aimed to comparatively assess the knowledge and practices related to the use of dietary supplements as adjunctive treatment and management for hypertension among patients attending two rural and two urban health centers in Guyana	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	NO THE ARTICLE IS NOT CLEARE .NO SUFFICIENT DATA	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments	RESULT SHOULD BE SHOW TABULAR FORM? REFERENCES ARE NOT SUFFICIENT. IN STATISTICAL ANALYSIS WHICH FORMULAIS USED NOT MENTION? DISCUSSION IS NOT CLEAR.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgesh Ranjan Kar
Department, University & Country	BCDA College of Pharmacy & Technology, India