

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130418
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidative Potentials of Telfairia occidentalis Aqueous Leaves Extract in Cyclophosphamide Induced Oxidative Stress in Adult Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript “Antioxidative potentials of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> aqueous leaves extract in cyclophosphamide induced oxidative stress in adult Wistar rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )” holds significant value for the scientific community as it linked the gap between the fundamental understanding of the aqueous leaves extract of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> unique properties and its therapeutic approach for managing oxidative stress levels. It provides valuable insights into the therapeutic applications of natural plant-based antioxidants in combating drug-induced oxidative damage. The findings of this study contribute to the growing body of evidence supporting the use of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> as a source of bioactive compounds with potential health benefits. Such research is crucial for advancing knowledge in toxicology, natural medicine, and oxidative stress-related disorders.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Title almost suitable for the way of scientific community.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Abstract is good and scientifically written, almost comprehensive. Just need write according to the journal required pattern.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct. But the writer plan of writing is so poor and also must improve the discussion section. Please improve your arrangement of data as a scientifically way and according to the journal given pattern. It also improves your data novelty and contribute your study role in future in the scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference almost sufficient but some literature sited is too old please add recent literature. Please improve your reference or literature cited section. It is so poor, and please reference manage according to the journal pattern, and site maximum and recent literature on the dissection section.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality little bit poor or isn't good but data arrangements almost suitable. So must revised the one-time manuscript and make sure to improve the sentence quality, and writing on the way of critical and scientific ideas.	
Optional/General comments	In general point of view, this manuscript well-organized and present a perspective aims and fundamental understanding of the <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> aqueous leaves extract unique properties and its therapeutic approach for managing oxidative stress levels. Overall, this manuscript contributes valuable insights and could serve as a valuable reference to contribute the sustainable agricultural practices and the efficient utilization of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> aqueous leaves extract and its by-products in various industrial sectors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qamar Shahzad Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Government College University, Pakistan