

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_131147
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of the National Stressful Events Survey Acute Stress Disorder Short Scale (NSESSS) to assess the Prevalence and Predictability of Acute Stress Disorder in Trauma Patients
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article addresses the issue of diagnosing acute stress. The work has an original character. The goal is to evaluate the effectiveness of the NSESSS in understanding the impact of trauma on patient well-being, identifying patient progress, and improving treatment outcomes. Its originality results from the research methodology used and the situations covered by the research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article corresponds to the content of its text.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary presented in the article is divided into three parts. The first one is an introduction to the subject of the article, then the research method is presented, with an indication of the tools that were used. The abstract ends with a summary presenting the conclusions from the conducted research. The convention adopted in this way allows for understanding the essence of the considerations carried out and the results obtained.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the results obtained during the research, the intended purpose of the article was achieved. Interesting research. A bit of a small research group	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references are sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The layout of the article is correct and logical. It consists of three main ones elements: theoretical parts constituting the basis for the conducted considerations, parts practical with the presented research results and a summary containing obtained conclusions that answer the research questions posed at the beginning article. The content of individual parts can be considered exhaustive	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marzena Netczuk-Gwozdziewicz
Department, University & Country	General Tadeusz Kosciuszko Military University of Land Forces, Poland