Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130828
Title of the Manuscript:	DONOR SITE MORBIDITY: COMPARING THE USE OF COBBETT KNIFE WITH ZIMMER ELECTRIC POWER DERMATOME IN HARVESTING PARTIAL THICKNESS SKIN GRAFT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	1. Year of study is not mentioned (from to from) (date to date)? Without which, it's difficult to confirm the author's time of association with the institute.	
	2. Comment on the surgeon's bias/expertise/good skin graft harvesting skills/quality of skin grafting blade, which can be included. Poor skin harvesting technique and skin grafting blade quality can affect mentioned variables.	
	3. Donor site variability can also affect the variable mentioned. Different body areas/skin colors are prone to hypertrophic scarring, and pigmentation can be emphasized.	
	4. Different settings of Zimmer dermatome and Cobbett knife for split-thickness grafting can affect the variables that can be stated.	
	5. Any use of local anesthetic jelly or impregnated dressing/painkiller is advised or not, and its dose in the postoperative period can affect variables like pain and can be emphasized.	
	6. Language is readable but needs grammatical corrections.	
	7. References need corrections and can be linked with the website.	
	8. Citations are not linked with the references.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Sharma
Department, University & Country	All is Well Multi-Speciality Hospital, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)