

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130682
Title of the Manuscript:	The Hormonal Nexus of Lipedema and Gynecological Conditions: Therapeutic Insights with Gestrinone and Drospirenone
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Lipedema is a prevalent condition among women, often attributed to sedentary lifestyles. Many individuals remain unaware of its potential impact on gynaecological health. This study underscores the significance of lipedema in relation to gynaecological disorders and examines associated treatment patterns.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study could begin by providing an introduction to lipedema among women, ensuring a smooth transition into the subject matter. Introducing statistical evidence at the outset may disrupt the narrative flow; instead, structuring the content in alignment with the study title can enhance the presentation style. A detailed discussion of the methodology would offer greater clarity regarding the methods employed. Additionally, the abstract should specify the total number of reviews included to support the study findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Certain references, including the 10th, 13th, 22nd, 23rd, 26th, 33rd, and 36th, are outdated and should be excluded to ensure that the study reflects current and relevant literature. Alternatively, their inclusion should be justified by specifying the study period during which the data was collected, as outlined in the narrative literature.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please refer the previous comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Smitha Madhavan
Department, University & Country	Lincoln University College, Malaysia