

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_130674 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CLEAR ALIGNERS AND CONVENTIONAL BRACES IN ORTHOSURGICAL PATIENTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW |
| Type of the Article | Systematic Review |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | For a systematic review, this manuscript seems like a worthwhile and fascinating subject. The comparison between clear aligners and conventional orthodontic appliances is quite pertinent, particularly given the growing popularity of clear aligners in orthodontics, including orthognathic surgery. The success of clear aligners in surgical cases is crucial for evidence-based practice as digital orthodontics and minimally invasive treatments become more popular. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title is informative and unambiguous. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is thorough, organised, and offers a fair summary of the research, making it instructive and pertinent to both researchers and clinicians. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The study addresses a clinically relevant and timely topic with proper methodology and seems to be scientifically sound. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The study's scientific depth would be improved with more references on the surgical results, and long-term stability of aligners versus braces. De Leyva et al. is the only source cited to evaluate these patients' quality of life. Adding more references would be beneficial. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The article's English fluency is reasonable and typically intelligible. The general framework makes sense, and the main points are communicated with clarity. | |
| Optional/General comments | Data on whether clear aligners yield results similar to braces in cases involving orthognathic surgery are needed by orthodontists and surgeons. This review could influence treatment recommendations. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Geetha Thirugnanasambandam |
| Department, University & Country | Sathyabama Dental College and Hospital, India |