

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130674
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CLEAR ALIGNERS AND CONVENTIONAL BRACES IN ORTHOSURGICAL PATIENTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article addresses a very interesting and topical topic: how aligners enter the last stronghold of traditional fixed therapy, orthodontic-surgical therapy and its pre- and post-operative phases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments	<p>A critical point is the selection of articles. It seems strange that of the 13 articles selected out of 2804 only 5 remain and then even 2 are found in the grey literature which should be lower in quality being not subject to referencing. To confirm that, the assessment of their level of evidence is low.</p> <p>Nothing is described about the complexity of the cases treated that can be reflected in a diversity of postoperative edema.</p> <p>-Overall, however, the study is well conducted by authors who have followed all current guidelines for systematic review but are penalized by the poverty of literature on the subject.</p> <p>- I would suggest that we at least change the conclusions.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Franca De Gregorio
Department, University & Country	University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy