

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130600
Title of the Manuscript:	Late Diagnosis Of Mesiodens And Its Relationship With Functional Disorders: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses an important topic in pediatric dentistry—mesiodens and its potential impact on dental function and aesthetics also the study provides valuable insights into the importance of early diagnosis and intervention to prevent long-term complications. The case report contributes to the existing literature by offering a practical example of diagnosis, treatment planning, and follow-up care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Late Diagnosis of Mesiodens and Its Relationship with Functional Disorders: Case Report," is appropriate and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. It clearly conveys the focus on delayed diagnosis and its functional implications, which makes it relevant for dental professionals. However, the term "functional disorders" could be revised to "functional disturbances" to better align with commonly used dental terminology.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-structured and provides an overview of the study, including the aim, methodology, results, and conclusion.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is generally well-organized and provides a detailed account of the case, including the diagnostic process, surgical intervention, and follow-up. However, some areas require improvement: Minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing should be addressed for better readability. The introduction could benefit from a more concise discussion of the theories behind supernumerary tooth formation, as the current version is somewhat lengthy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript provides a valuable contribution to the field, emphasizing the importance of timely intervention for mesiodens.</p> <p>The inclusion of high-quality images and radiographs would enhance the clarity of the case presentation and aid in visual understanding.</p> <p>The authors should consider discussing alternative treatment approaches and their potential outcomes to provide a more comprehensive perspective.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikhil S Mukhedkar
Department, University & Country	MIDSR Dental College, India