

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130444
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Report on Pneumatosis Intestinalis complicated by pneumoperitoneum.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a rare condition which is highlighted in thi case report. It needs a high index of suspicion to diagnose this. All the contributory factors and treatment have been beautifully enumerated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive but with certain corrections in case summary	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>1 .In the abstract case summaryno radiological evidence of pneumoperitoneum, pneumatosis intestinalis, and free fluid concerning hollow viscus perforation.</p> <p>This is contradictory to the fact that there was pneumoperitoneum in Xray and CT</p> <p>2. In the case description section of the manuscript- And pneumatosis of small bowel (Figure2)</p> <p>. this last sentence in paragraph 2 is incomplete on its own and can be combined with the previous sentence.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Athiya Perveen K
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India