

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130333
Title of the Manuscript:	PATIENT-RELATED FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE SSIS AMONG POST LAPAROTOMY PATIENTS IN THE SURGICAL UNIT AT THIKA LEVEL 5 HOSPITAL KIAMBU COUNTY
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Surgical site infections is a significant issue in healthcare, particularly in resource-limited settings. The study addresses a critical gap in understanding patient-related and institutional factors contributing to SSIs in Kenya, which can inform targeted interventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Could be improved into Analysis of Patient related risk- factors on developing SSI following Laparotomy in the surgical unit thika level 5 hospital kiambu county	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>The abstract should be divided to: Background, Aim, Methodology, Results, Conclusion and keywords</b> It needs to be concise, clearly structured, and emphasizes key findings while aligning with standard academic formats.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It appears structurally correct but there is room for improvement More details are needed in methodology for actual ways of collecting data and classifying them More details are needed for statistical tests especially p values More details about confounding factors and relationship between different variables in same patients Discuss limitations of the study	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Half of the references are more than 6 years old	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Limited data on the specific value of this issue in kenya rather more data on general statistics</li> <li>2- Pretesting of tools was good but with no feedback on the results of those tests and any corrections done</li> <li>3- The study didn't include institutional factors such as timing of prophylaxis and hygiene practices in the results section but was mentioned in the conclusion as part of the factors affect SSI and furthermore on the recommendation section</li> <li>4- There is no proper discussion between results of this manuscript with the literature</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tasnim Rizk Naeem</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ain Shams University, Egypt</b>