

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130281
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE FOVEA AVASCULAR ZONE IN HIGHLY MYOPIC PATIENTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Systematic review without meta-analysis

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	High myopia is common. It increases with longer axial length. Changes in choroidal and retinal perfusion, reflected in the FAZ and vessel density alterations, contribute to pathological myopia, which poses serious sight-threatening complications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive and well-written	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct; however, it is advisable to enhance reliability by following the SWiM guideline protocol and conducting the research in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA). A modified scoring scale based on the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for observational studies should have been employed for the quality assessment of the included studies. This systematic review should clearly define inclusion criteria, following the PICO framework. The outcome measures should be categorized into primary and secondary outcomes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	If scholarly and PubMed-based literature is allowed, it's recommended to include references. The current version limits the number of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I found errors in abbreviations, but they can be corrected. The manuscript needs further editing for grammar and clarity improvement.	
Optional/General comments	This research is scientifically robust and focuses exclusively on the characteristics of the foveal avascular zone. Insufficient reporting in scholarly sources and PubMed hinders meta-analysis. Including additional retinal and perfusion markers would enhance scientific rigor and promote debate.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel A. Quiroz-Reyes
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico