Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130162
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic and clinical profile of leprosy in the Regions of Brazil and outcome of new cases between 2012 and 2022
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

a glimpse of the current situation of the leprosy burden in Brazil, therefore has immense people working in this thematic area. Since social, demographic and clinical profile is related it adds to the available data on leprosy.	
r the content and the study	
nould be corrected. 'To build' is not study design, it is aim of study. It the meaning of ecological study can be omitted instead the procedure how the surveillance was entioned in short. It is aim of study. It i	
ientifically correct. Few changes in the result description and interpretation are to be made indings. Comments given based on previous studies are to be avoided and present study to be high lightened. Eg:' Thus, the long duration of symptoms for untreated leprosy patients has ficant risk factor for physical disabilities and, therefore, there are still gaps in comprehensive cted individuals, making it necessary to strengthen the screening and active search for cases, regions with a high incidence in Brazil.'This statement is not relevant here as the author has tudied the duration of the disease. Simply patients age cannot be an indication of prolonged	
sufficient and latest. Accessed on could hve been added for few. Eg: ref no;1,5,6,8	
roficiency is of good quality.	
as	proficiency is of good quality. as and observations made by the author which are presented as the results of the study, but have a recommendations. attifically good but there are repetitions in the results and discussion which can be refined. attail the opinion in the word doc of article.

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vaseem Anjum
Department, University & Country	Mallareddy Institute of Medical Sciences, Mallareddy Vishwavidyapeet, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)