

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130150
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTENSIVE DERMATITIS DUE TO HYPERSENSITIVITY TO ORTHOPEDIC SYNTHETIC MATERIALS: CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights a rare complication in orthopedic surgery—hypersensitivity to synthetic implant materials. It underscores the importance of diagnosing and managing such reactions to improve patient outcomes. The study emphasizes the role of tools like Patch Testing and the need for increased awareness among healthcare professionals. Its findings contribute to advancing preventive strategies and improving the safety of implant use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "EXTENSIVE DERMATITIS DUE TO HYPERSENSITIVITY TO ORTHOPEDIC SYNTHETIC MATERIALS: CASE REPORT," is suitable as it accurately describes the manuscript's content. However, for improved impact and clarity, an alternative title could be: "A Rare Case of Extensive Dermatitis Caused by Hypersensitivity to Orthopedic Synthetic Implants: Insights and Management."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key aspects of the case, including the patient's presentation, diagnostic process, treatment, and outcomes. However, a minor addition could enhance its impact. Suggest adding a sentence that highlights the clinical significance of the findings and their implications for improving diagnostic and preventive strategies in implant-related hypersensitivity. For example, the following sentence could be added: "This case underscores the need for preoperative allergy screening and highlights the importance of developing implant materials that minimize hypersensitivity risks." No deletions are necessary as the current abstract is well-structured and concise.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate and well-supported by relevant literature. It provides a thorough explanation of the case, including the diagnostic tools and treatment strategies used, along with a detailed discussion on the mechanisms of hypersensitivity to orthopedic implants. The references cited are credible and align with the claims made in the manuscript. No significant inaccuracies were identified, and the scientific foundation of the paper is robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, covering key studies related to hypersensitivity to orthopedic implants and diagnostic methods such as Patch Testing. They provide a solid scientific foundation for the manuscript. However, including additional references that explore the global prevalence of hypersensitivity reactions to implant materials and the development of biocompatible alternatives could	

Review Form 3

	further enhance the manuscript. For example, studies focusing on newer implant materials or long-term outcomes of hypersensitivity management could add valuable context.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The text is clear, precise, and uses appropriate terminology for the subject matter. However, minor proofreading for grammatical consistency and sentence structure would improve overall readability and flow. Addressing these minor issues would ensure the manuscript meets the highest standards for academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-structured and provides valuable insights into a rare complication of orthopedic implants. The detailed description of the case, diagnostic methods, and treatment strategies adds depth to the discussion. Including a brief section on preventive measures, such as preoperative allergy screening or the use of alternative implant materials, would enhance the manuscript's practical applicability. Additionally, incorporating more visual aids, such as detailed images of diagnostic results or the affected area, could improve clarity and reader engagement. Overall, the manuscript makes a meaningful contribution to the field and addresses an important yet underexplored issue.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jumaa S. Chiad
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, Al-Nahrain University, Iraq