Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_129905**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Inflammation and its associated diseases: A Concise Review**
Type of the Article	**Review Articlec**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides significant insights into the complex relationship between inflammation and its role in various associated diseases. The exploration of inflammatory pathways and their impact on condition gives a new insights..	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**Yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract of the manuscript would benefit from the inclusion of relevant keywords.** Additionally, the need for the study is not clearly outlined, The manuscript would be more understandable if it incorporated the full forms of abbreviations the first time they are introduced	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In the introduction section, there is some redundancy in word usage, which could be streamlined to improve clarity and flow. Additionally, it would be beneficial to provide the abbreviations of terms used in the table directly below the table headings. In the mechanism section, it is essential to provide the full form of each abbreviation the first time it is introduced The conclusion section is currently too brief and lacks a comprehensive summary of the key findings and their implications. Additionally, the manuscript would be strengthened by addressing the limitations of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references listed in the total references section are not cited within the manuscript, which should be addressed to ensure completeness. Additionally, the references included are not formatted according to the journal's required style. It is important to standardize the reference formatting throughout the manuscript to maintain uniformity	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is average and may require some improvements to meet the standards of scholarly communication. There are instances of awkward phrasing, redundancy, and occasional grammatical issues that could be refined for better clarity and flow.	
Optional/General comments		

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**A.J.Ashmi**
Department, University & Country	**Priyadarshini Dental College and Hospital, India**