

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_129905
Title of the Manuscript:	Inflammation and its associated diseases: A Concise Review
Type of the Article	Review Articlec

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is considered one of the most important reviews in the academic field, as it contributes to understanding the mechanisms of inflammation or stopping it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Mechanisms of inflammation associated with chronic diseases from 2010-2025: a brief review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>This summary is very short and not comprehensive of the subject of the study.</p> <p>I suggest adding some mechanisms of inflammation as well as the study objective, which should be specified by a time period, for example from 2010 to 2025.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The review is very adequate and comprehensive, but it lacks updating of the references, as I found sources from the year 2000 and before.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abbas Fadhil Khudhur
Department, University & Country	Tikrrit University, Iraq