

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_122321
Title of the Manuscript:	Alcoholic Liver Biomarkers: Determinants For Admission To Rehabilitation Centres During The Covid 19 Period In Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is important as it focuses on evaluating the use of liver biomarkers as an objective method to assess alcoholic liver injury during admission to rehabilitation centers. This is crucial as admission is often based on self-reported alcohol consumption, which can be biased. Using liver biomarkers can provide a more reliable assessment of liver damage to guide treatment and management. The study was also conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, which is relevant as alcohol consumption patterns may have changed during this period.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "ALCOHOLIC LIVER BIOMARKERS: DETERMINANTS FOR ADMISSION TO REHABILITATION CENTRES DURING THE COVID 19 PERIOD IN KENYA" is suitable and accurately reflects the focus of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and covers the key aspects of the study - objectives, methodology, findings, and conclusions. I don't have any major suggestions for additions or deletions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript, with the introduction, methodology, findings, discussion, and conclusion sections, is appropriate. However, the Discussion section primarily focuses on summarizing the key findings without providing a comprehensive interpretation of the results in the context of existing literature and clinical practice in details. The Discussion section does not provide clear recommendations for clinical practice or future research based on the study's findings. The authors could have suggested how the identified liver biomarker patterns could inform clinical decision-making, such as screening, monitoring, or risk stratification of individuals with alcohol use disorders. Additionally, the authors could have identified potential areas for future research to address the limitations of the current study and further advance the understanding of the role of liver biomarkers in alcoholic liver disease.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound. The methodology is clearly described, the statistical analyses are appropriate, and the findings are presented and discussed in a logical manner. The conclusions drawn are supported by the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are sufficient and recent, covering the relevant literature on the topic. However, the referencing was not consistent.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear, concise, and well-structured.	
Optional/General comments	This is a well-designed and executed study that adds valuable insights into the use of liver biomarkers for assessing alcoholic liver injury during the admission process to rehabilitation centers, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings have important implications for improving the diagnosis and management of alcoholic liver disease in this setting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kelly Osayi Otakhor
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska Medical Center, United States