

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_131170
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Fixed Point Results for Integral Type Mappings in b-Metric Space
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents significant contributions to the field of fixed point theory, particularly in the context of b-metric spaces. By extending existing results and proving new common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying the generalized b-(E.A.) property, the study advances the theoretical framework of integral-type mappings. The results build on previous work by Singh and other researchers, reinforcing the applicability of b-metric spaces in mathematical analysis and related disciplines. The inclusion of illustrative examples strengthens the validity of the findings, making the research valuable for further theoretical developments and potential applications in functional analysis and nonlinear analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Relevant title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Suggested Improvements:</b> 1. <b>Clarify the Contribution:</b> The abstract should explicitly mention the novelty of the study, such as the use of the generalized b-(E.A.) property and how it extends or refines previous results. 2. <b>State the Key Results Clearly:</b> Instead of just stating that common fixed point theorems are proven, a brief mention of the main theorem or findings would enhance clarity. 3. <b>Methodology and Importance:</b> Adding a sentence about the proof techniques or the importance of the results in fixed point theory would provide more context. 4. <b>Example and Applications:</b> While the abstract mentions an example supporting the results, it would be beneficial to briefly state its significance. 5. <b>Avoid Redundancy:</b> The phrase "we prove some common fixed point theorems" could be replaced with a more informative statement specifying how these results are different from existing ones.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is <b>scientifically correct</b> . Some symbols and terms should be consistently used throughout the paper to avoid ambiguity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>More Recent Studies (Post-2020):</b> While the paper includes citations up to 2020, recent developments (2021-2024) in <b>fixed point theorems for b-metric spaces and integral-type mappings</b> could strengthen the background and demonstrate the paper's relevance. ✦ <b>Broader Scope:</b> Some additional references on <b>applications of b-metric fixed point results in functional analysis, optimization, and nonlinear differential equations</b> could further contextualize the findings.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper requires <b>moderate</b> proofreading and <b>grammatical editing</b> to enhance clarity, readability, and academic tone. A <b>professional language review</b> or <b>editorial revision</b> is recommended before publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sateesh Kumar Deevi
Department, University & Country	India