

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_130647
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study on Exercise Difficulty of "Triangle" in Chinese and Vietnamese Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the differences in exercise difficulty in mathematics textbooks from China and Vietnam. The study helps in understanding how the two curricula emphasize mathematical skills such as computation and reasoning, offering a useful reference for textbook development and instructional practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear but could be slightly refined for conciseness: Suggested Alternative: <i>"Comparative Analysis of Exercise Difficulty in 'Triangle' Sections of Chinese and Vietnamese Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks."</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but requires minor improvements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce redundancy in phrases such as <i>"conduct a comparative analysis..."</i> • Clarify the implications of the findings for educators and textbook authors. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<input type="checkbox"/> The research methodology is valid, but the selection criteria for the textbooks should be further justified (e.g., why were the Zhejiang and Kite Editions chosen?).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<input type="checkbox"/> The references are sufficient and relevant but could be strengthened with more recent literature on comparative mathematics curricula.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<input type="checkbox"/> The academic language is generally appropriate, but some sentences are overly complex, and there are minor grammatical inconsistencies. <input type="checkbox"/> Certain phrases could be made more concise to enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	Minor revisions are required to improve language clarity, methodological justification, and discussion of the study's implications. This manuscript holds strong scientific value and is suitable for publication following revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sutarto
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia