

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_130647
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study on Exercise Difficulty of "Triangle" in Chinese and Vietnamese Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides a comparative analysis of exercises in mathematics textbooks from two different educational systems, namely China and Vietnam. By applying the comprehensive difficulty model, this study successfully illustrates the profound differences and similarities in the design of mathematics exercises, providing new insights into pedagogical approaches that can strengthen logical reasoning, calculation skills and knowledge integration. The results of this study can serve as a reference for curriculum developers and educators in improving the design of exercises in textbooks to increase student engagement and learning outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Exploring Exercise Difficulty in 'Triangle' Content: A Comparative Study of Chinese and Vietnamese Textbooks	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Clearly state the significance of comparing the textbooks from China and Vietnam in the opening sentence to set a strong context for readers unfamiliar with the topic	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate in its methodology, analysis, and findings. However, some improvements are needed: clarification of methodology, some steps in the analysis could be explained more explicitly to enhance reproducibility, also the interpretation of results should better highlight their significance in the broader educational context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference seems to be insufficient. It's better to add recent and diverse studies for enhancing the depth and relevance of the research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Proofread the manuscript thoroughly or use professional editing services to ensure grammatical accuracy and consistency	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurdiyanti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Indonesia